

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/938,013

Applicant(s)

NGUYEN ET AL.

Examiner

Gary Jones

Art Unit

1634

All Participants:

(1) Gary Jones.

(2) Mai Nguyen.

Status of Application: After Final Rejection

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 November 2004

Time: 10:30 AM EST

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Calims 4 and 5

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Jones indicated that the claims would be allowable if amended to recite the quantitative measurement as disclosed in the specification. Mai Nguyen asked for a copy of the suggested claim to be e-mailed. Mr. Jones indicated that in order to e-mail a suggested claim, applicant must comply with the provisions of MPEP 502.03, indicating that the applicant recognizes that the internet is not secure and waives the right of confidentiality. The appropriate request was made and a suggested amendment will be sent to applicant. See the attached claim. .